UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/541,216 | 06/29/2005 | Mark Tawa | TP15013USPTC6 | 4554 |
| 27777 PHILIP S. JOH | 7590 04/15/201 NSON | EXAMINER | | |
| JOHNSON & J | | CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE | | |
| | VICK, NJ 08933-7003 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1627 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/15/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com lhowd@its.jnj.com gsanche@its.jnj.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/541,216 | TAWA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Renee Claytor | 1627 | |

| | Renee Claytor | 1627 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliatime periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, at lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | ng date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL | 706.07(f). The on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. The extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing display. | 136(a) and the appropria t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off | te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extant a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bout appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling and NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | onsideration and/or search (see NO low); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re | OTE below); educing or simplifying | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | 121. See attached Notice of Non-Cs): | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment (s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s). | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered been considered because of the considered been considered because of the consid | | • | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s) 13. Other: | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627 | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicants have presented a 37 C.F.R. 1.132 Declaration to demonstrate that Applicants were in possession of the invention prior to November 15, 2002; however, there is not a sufficient showing of why the Declaration was not earlier presented and is not considered.

Applicants again argue that the Tawa et al. (US PgPub 2007/0015841) cannot be used because the priority was corrected. However, as mentioned in the previous Office Action, the publication itself claims priority to different provisional applications that claim priority to dates before the priority date of the present invention. Therefore the arguments are not found persuasive.